

Scholarship Committee Report

CCCAF & WGMS

1. Total WGMS Submissions: 4
 - a. Submissions Disqualified as Ineligible: 2
2. Total CCCAF Submissions: 33
 - a. Submissions Disqualified as Ineligible: 4 (Most common issue was sponsor membership status was invalid/not in good standing.)
 - b. Submissions Disqualified for failing to follow instructions: 8
 - i. Most common failure: Failing to place Essay Topic at the top of the page
3. #1 Concern discussed by Judges: AI assisted and/or generated Essays
 - a. Several Essays were identified as 60% or greater AI generated
 - i. Identified using Publicly available AI identification programs, and not used to single out or penalize essays.
 - b. How can we identify and disqualify AI generated Essays
 - c. How can we identify and penalize AI assisted Essays
4. #1 Concern for Committee Chair: The participation and future of WGMS
 - a. Participation in WGMS has been low since it began. To date efforts to improve participation have failed.
 - b. Most years only two eligible essays were submitted, which didn't require judging since the award amounts are equal.
 - c. Chair Recommendation: Discussion to:
 - i. Discontinue WGMS
 - ii. Move designated funds to CCCAF
 - iii. Update CCCAF eligibility to include current WGMS eligibility